

th

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/715,901 | 11/17/2003 | Qing Ma | ITL.0726D1US (P14252D) | 5665 |
| 7 | 590 06/17/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Trop, Pruner | & Hu, P.C. | LEE, KYUNG S | | |
| Suite 100 | | | ADTIDUT | DARED AND OPEN |
| 8554 Katy Free | eway | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Houston, TX 77024 | | | 2832 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | - 4M | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Assistant Comments | 10/715,901 | MA, QING | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Richard K. Lee | 2832 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet With | the correspondence address | ••• | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. | | | |
| Status | | | * | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h | <i>¶ay 2004</i> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under l | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 20-30 is/are pending in the application | | , · · | - | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | iwii iroini consideration. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 20-30 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examino | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/a | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o | bjected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached C | Diffice Action of form PTO-13 | 02. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | _ | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | | ceived in this National Stag | е | | | |
| application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | • | caived | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not re | 501 40 0. | - | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | nmary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | , | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants have canceled claims 1-10. Currently, claims 20-30 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop et al. (6,124,650) in view of Sobhani (5,690,498).

Bishop et al. discloses a microelectromechanical system structure comprising:

- a semiconductor structure 26 (please see fig. 5);
- a removable material (removed from layer 12 and 26 in fig. 5); and a spring arm 12 formed on the semiconductor structure with a pair of opposed ends, one end coupled to the semiconductor structure and the other end positioned over a fixed contact.

Bishop et al. teaches the claimed invention except for a curved microspring formed over the removable material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the fixed contact spring design of Sobhani to Bishop et al. for the purpose of improving switching contact.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,901

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding claim 23, Bishop et al. discloses an actuator (please see fig. 5) positioned between the opposing ends of the spring arm 12.

Regarding claim 25, Sobhani discloses plurality of spaced curved strips.

Regarding claims 26 and 27, Bishop et al. discloses two layers 12 and 34 (fig. 5) and the spring arm 12 is a resilient conductor.

Regarding claims 22 and 30 the method of heating to remove is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product structure itself. Therefore, the limitation has not given patentable weight.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TU & TH-F 5:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard K. Lee

Examiner

Art Unit 2832